ULUSILARARASI SOSYAL ARAŞTIRMALAR DERGİSİ THE JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL RESEARCH

Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi / The Journal of International Social Research Cilt: 13 Sayı: 71 Haziran 2020 & Volume: 13 Issue: 71 June 2020 www.sosyalarastirmalar.com Issn: 1307-9581

EBEVEYNLERİN DUYGU SOSYALLEŞTİRME STRATEJİLERİ İLE ÇOCUKLARIN SOSYAL YETKİNLİK DÜZEYLERİ ARASINDAKİ İLİŞKİ*

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PARENTS' EMOTION SOCIALIZATION STRATEGIES AND CHILDREN'S SOCIAL COMPETENCE

Tülay İLHAN İYİ**

Aysel ESEN ÇOBAN***

Özet

Bu araştırmada ebeveynlerin kullandığı duygu sosyalleştirme stratejileri ile çocukların sosyal yetkinlik düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki incelenmiştir. Çalışma, nicel araştırma yöntemlerinden korelasyonel model ile tasarlanmıştır. Ankara'da bulunan özel ve bağımsız resmi anaokullarına devam eden 4-6 yaşlarındaki 343 çocuk araştırmanın örneklemini oluşturmaktadır. Veriler; Kişisel Bilgi Formu, Çocukların Duygularına Tepkiler Ölçeği ve Sosyal Yetkinlik ve Davranış Değerlendirme Ölçeği-30 (SYDD-30) ile toplanmıştır. Araştırmanın bulgularına göre annelerin ihmal stratejileri ile çocukların sosyal yetkinlik düzeyleri arasında anlamlı bir ilişki olduğu; ihmal stratejisi kullanan annaelerin çocuklarının sosyal yetkinlik düzeylerinin daha düşük olduğu belirlenmiştir. Diğer taraftan babaların duygu sosyalleştirme stratejileri ile çocuklarının sosyal yetkinlik düzeyleri arasında anlamlı bir ilişki bulunmamıştır. Araştırma sonucunda anne ve babaların kullandığı duygu sosyalleştirme stratejilerinin ebeveyn ve çocuk cinsiyetine göre anlamlı farklılık gösterdiği ortaya konmuştur. Bulgular, olumlu ebeveyn duygu sosyalleştirme stratejilerinin çocukların sosyal yetkinlik becerilerini destekleyebileceğini göstermektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Okul öncesi dönem, Çocuklar, Ebeveynler, Duygu sosyalleştirme stratejileri, Sosyal yetkinlik

Abstract

This research explores the relationship between parental emotional socialization strategies and children's social competence level. A correlational model, a quantitative research method, was employed. The sample of the study was 343 children aged 4-6 years attending private and public preschools in Ankara. Data were collected through a Demographic Information Form, Responses to Children's Emotions Questionnaire (RCE), and Social Competence and Behavior Evaluation-30 Scale (SCBE-30). The results suggested that mothers' neglect strategy and children's social competence were significantly related. However, no significant relationship was found between fathers' emotion socialization strategies and children's social competence. Furthermore, differences between mothers' and fathers' emotion socialization strategies emerged according to parents and children's social competence levels.

Keywords: Early Childhood Period, Children, Parents, Emotion Socialization Strategies, Social Competence.

^{**} Bu çalışma ilk yazarın yüksek lisans tezinden üretilmiştir.

^{**} Uzm. Arş. Gör., Hacettepe Üniversitesi, Eğitim Fakültesi.

^{***} Doç. Dr., Hacettepe Üniversitesi, Eğitim Fakültesi.



1. INTRODUCTION

Emotion socialization is defined as the process which parents teach children emotions through their reactions to children's emotions (Eisenberg, Cumberland, & Spinrad, 1998, 241–273), and parents modeling children by expressing their own feelings (Morris, Silk, Steinberg, Myers, & Robinson, 2007, 361–388).

Emotional socialization strategies are defined as parents' positive and negative reactions to their children's emotions (Morris et al., 2007, 361–388). Family is the first environment in which children learn their emotions and serves as the base of their emotional development (Mirabile, 2010, 19). Therefore, parents' reactions to their children's emotions are important in terms of the child's emotional development. Furthermore, children rely on parents' reactions and behaviors to interiorize the convenience of their feelings and expression thereof (Zeman, Cassano, Perry-Parrish, & Stegall, 2006). It is also believed that these reactions are related to children's social competence, as parents' reactions affect children's ability to control emotions (Katz & Windecker-Nelson, 2004, 385-398), understand and evaluate others' emotions (Denham & Kochanoff, 2002, 311-343), and their emotion regulation skills (Brumariu, 2015, 31-45).

Social competence is defined as adapting social experiences into different social contexts and to take someone else's perspective in a particular situation. It consists of social skills, social communication, emotional regulation, and interpersonal skills (Semrud-Clikeman, 2007, 1-2). Social skills are the goal directed behaviors that helps the person to effectively communicate with others (Sheridan & Walker, 1999, 686–708). Social Skills approach, one of the theoretical approaches to social competence, argues that children's social skills determine their social competence level and they are highly correlated (Rose-Krasnor, 1997, 111-135).

Parents' positive and rewarding responses to their children's emotions increase children's positive social behavior (Denham & Kochanoff, 2002, 311-343). However, when parents do not accept and ignore their children's emotions, they have lower emotional regulation skills. Therefore, they display more aggressive behaviors (Ramsden & Hubbard 2002, 657- 667). In addition, if children's emotions are neglected, they become unhappier and more fearful (Denham, Bassett, & Wyatt, 2007, 614-637). In short, children experience externalization (e.g., aggression) and internalization (e.g., unhappiness, fear) problems when their emotions are reacted to negatively from family. Hence, children whose emotions are underestimated and ignored also demonstrate a low level of social competence (Hastings & De, 2008, 211-237).

Research shows that when parents punish their children's ways of expressing feelings, these children negatively perceive their own feelings and those of others, and avoid exploring ways to deal with them (Eisenberg et al., 1998). In addition, parents who ignore their children's feelings, especially the feeling of anger, and cannot control their own feelings have children who demonstrate difficulties in regulating feelings and controlling anger (Katz & Windecker-Nelson, 2004, 385-398). Otherwise, when parents are aware of their children's emotional reactions and talk about emotions with them, children become more successful at understanding and assessing the feelings of others (Denham & Kochanoff, 2002, 311-343). In addition, children whose parents name and talk about their emotions, better understand emotions than other children and develop more consistent social relationships with other people (Laible & Song, 2006, 44-69).

In the literature, parental emotion socialization strategies are associated with children's developmental characteristics. Previous studies found that the children of parents using supportive parental emotion socialization strategies are securely attached to their parents (Chen, Lin, & Li, 2011, 403-410), have better emotion regulation skills (Eisenberg et al., 1998), better social skills (Seçer & Karabulut, 2016, 147-165), and fewer behavior problems (Lunkenheimer, Shields, & Cortina, 2007, 232-248; McElwain, Halberstadt, & Volling, 2007, 1407-1425). Therefore, supportive emotion socialization strategies promote children's outcomes related to socio-emotional development.

Children gain a vision about themselves through the positive or negative reactions of the people they communicate with. Positive reactions have an important role in improving children's self-efficacy. According to Bandura (1986, 342-343), individuals' thoughts about their own competencies determine how they behave, think, and react emotionally in social relationships. Furthermore, the first step in development of children's social competence is recognizing their emotions and how others respond to these emotions (Denham, Salisch, Olthof, Kochanoff, & Caverly, 2004, 307-328). In other words, parents' emotion socialization behaviors play an important role in the development of children's social competence.

Culture affects parenting patterns (Kağıtçıbaşı, 2000, 112) and parents' beliefs regarding emotions and emotion socialization behaviors (Eisenberg et al., 1998). Studies relate parents' emotion socialization behaviors with cultural variables such as socio-economic status (SES), race, and ethnicity (Morelen, Jacob,



Suveg, Jones, & Thomassin, 2013, 149-166; Nelson, Leerkes, O'Brien, Calkins, & Marcovitch, 2012, 22-41). For instance, one comparative study showed that European American mothers exhibit more supportive emotion socialization behaviors than do African American mothers (Nelson et al., 2012, 22-41). In the same study, African American mothers stated that "it was less appropriate for their children to display negative emotions compared to European American mothers" because of the African American families' cultural belief that children should obey parental authority. Therefore, they punish their children's negative emotions, because in their culture these are not displayed. In addition, according to McDonald, Tarkenton, and Faith (2015, 2), non-white parents believe that children are able to learn emotions by themselves, while white parents trust that they should guide their children's emotions. The same study revealed that parents with Hispanic ethnicity value children's positive emotions more than do parents of other ethnicities. SES is another variable that affects parential emotion socialization behaviors. In Turkish culture, parenting practices change in terms of parents' SES. While Turkish mothers with high SES encourage more verbalization and equality, those with low SES display extreme protection and discipline and want their children to be obedient (Lecompte, Lecompte & Ozer, 1978, 5-8). Since parenting behaviors comprise parental emotion socialization behaviors, SES can affect their emotion socialization behaviors. In addition, previous studies revealed that parents with high SES use more supportive emotion socialization strategies than those with low SES since parents with low SES display more authoritarian parenting behaviors (Martini, Root, & Jenkins, 2004, 515-530; McDonald et al., 2015, 2).

Most studies on parental emotion socialization reveal emotion socialization patterns in Western cultures (Eisenberg, Fabes, & Murphy, 1996, 2227-2247; Eisenberg et al., 2001, 475-490; Fabes, Leonard, Kupanoff, & Martin, 2001, 907-920; O'Neal & Magai, 2005, 467-487). In the Western culture, children are encouraged to express their feelings and to be independent (Butler, Lee, & Gross, 2007, 30-48). In contrast, collectivist cultures such as that in Turkey emphasize the inhibition of emotions and controlling emotional expressions (Mesquita & Frijda, 1992, 179-204). As emphasized earlier, parental emotion socialization practices underlie children's social competence (Denham et al., 2004). Therefore, it is improtant to explore parental emotion socialization behaviors in Turkish culture and its relationship with children's social competence. Accordingly, the current study aims to reveal the relationship between parental emotion socialization strategies and children's social competence level. Furthermore, the difference between mothers' and fathers' emotion socialization strategies and whether these strategies differ according to the child's sex are identified.

2. METHOD

A correlational model, a quantitative research method, was employed to investigate the relationship between parents' emotional socialization strategies and children's social competencies.

Sample

The sample consisted of 343 children aged 4-6 years attending public and private preschools in Ankara province of Turkey. These children were identified through a convenience sampling approach, in which available and accessible people are included when it is difficult to select research participants through random and systematic sampling (Fraenkel, Wallen, & Hyun 2012, 99). The characteristics of the children and parents in the sample group are listed in Table 1.

| Variables | Groups | f | % |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----|------|
| | 4 | 107 | 31.2 |
| Child's age | 5 | 156 | 45.5 |
| C C | 6 | 80 | 23.3 |
| | Total | 343 | 100 |
| | Female | 178 | 51.9 |
| Child's sex | Male | 165 | 48.1 |
| | Total | 343 | 100 |
| | 1 | 105 | 30.6 |
| | 2 | 193 | 56.3 |
| Number of children in the | 3 | 45 | 13.1 |
| family | Total | 343 | 100 |
| | 20-30 | 88 | 25.7 |
| Mother's age | 31-40 | 215 | 62.7 |
| inter o age | 41+ | 40 | 11.7 |
| | Total | 343 | 100 |
| | Primary-elementary | 45 | 13.1 |
| | High school | 109 | 31.8 |
| | Bachelor's degree | 189 | 55.1 |
| Mother's education level | Total | 343 | 100 |
| | 20-30 | 23 | 7.3 |
| | 31-40 | 209 | 66.8 |
| Father's age | 41+ | 81 | 25.9 |
| | Total | 313 | 100 |
| | Primary-elementary | 31 | 9.9 |
| | High school | 102 | 32.6 |
| Father's education level | Bachelor's degree | 180 | 57.5 |
| | Total | 313 | 100 |

Table 1: Characteristics of the children and parents included in the sample

The majority of children included in the study were aged 4 (31.2%) and 5 (45.5%) years and the percentage distributions of female (51.9%) and male (48.1%) children were close. Most of the children had 1 sibling (56.3%). Furthermore, 62.7% of these children's mothers were aged 31–40 years, and 66.8% fathers were at 31–40 years. Finally, 55.1% of the mothers and 55.7% of the fathers had a Bachelor's degree (Table 1).

Procedures

The researcher visited the schools included in the sample between May and October 2017, and distributed the data collection tools to the parents and teachers. In addition to obtaining the necessary permissions (Hacettepe University Ethics Commission and Ankara Provincial Directorate of Education Application Permission), participants were asked to fill in the Voluntary Participation Form. The Responses to Children's Emotions (RCE) Scale was completed by 343 mothers and 313 fathers. In addition, the Social Competence and Behavior Evaluation-30 Scale was distributed to teachers of 343 children however 230 forms completed by the teachers. Following this, the researcher revisited the schools and collected the forms.

Measures

The RE and SCBE-30 were used as the measures for the purpose of this study.

RCE was developed by O'Neal and Magai (2005, 467-487) for parents of children aged 11–14 years. RCE has 15 items. It was adapted into Turkish by Ersay (2014, 33-46) for mothers of children aged 4–6 years. The scale consists of five subscales, namely rewarding, punishing, magnifying, neglect, and distracting, which are the global domains of socialization. RCE aims to explore how often parents use those five socialization strategies in response to their children's feelings of sadness, anger, fear, and excessive joy. The rewarding subscale includes parents' positive reactions that help children regulate their feelings (e.g., When my child is sad, I ask what makes him/her sad). The punishing subscale means that parents reject or do not approve the child's feelings (e.g., When my child is upset, I tell him/her to stop being upset). The magnifying subscale represents parents' reactions that express the same feelings as the child (e.g., I get very upset when my child is upset). The neglect subscale indicates that parents do not recognize or react to their



children's feelings (e.g., When my child is upset, I ignore his/her sadness). Finally, the distracting subscale means that the parent does not care about the child's emotional experiences, and diverts the child's attention to something else (e.g., When my child is upset, I buy something he/she likes). Responses to sadness, anger, fear, and excessive joy are provided for the children in each subscale by the parents. The scale consists of 60 items, and each is scored from 1 (never) to 5 (always). Each subscale has 12 items and is scored between 12 and 60. Higher scores indicate greater use of socialization strategy for each subscale. The Cronbach Alpha coefficients of the subscales were as follows: rewarding (.83), punishing (.84), magnifying (.79), neglect (.85), and distracting (.84) (Ersay, 2014, 33-46). In this study, for the mothers' form, the Cronbach Alphas of the subscales were as follows: rewarding (.87), magnifying (.83), neglect (.81), and distracting (.86). Cronbach Alphas for the sub-dimensions of the fathers' forms were as follows: rewarding (.80), and distracting (.84).

SCBE-30 was developed by LaFreniere and Dumas (1996, 369-377) and adapted into Turkish by Çorapçı, Aksan, Arslan-Yalçın, and Yağmurlu (2010, 63-74). It measures children's social competence level and their emotional and behavioral problems. The scale consists of three subscales: anger-aggression (AA), anxiety withdrawal (AW), and social competence (SC) and 30 items (10 items for each subscale) scored between 1 = never and 6 = always. The social competence subscale was used in this study. It comprises items expressing the co-operation of children with their peers and their solution to any disagreements. It was completed by the preschool teachers. Cronbach's alpha for internal consistency coefficients of the social competence subscale item has a total correlation of .41 and above, indicating high internal consistency (Çorapçı et al., 2010, 63-74). In the current study, Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the social competence subscale was .88.

Data Analyses

Data was analyzed using the SPSS 20.0 package program. Prior to analysis, missing data was replaced with the mean of the variables. Descriptive statistical methods, the average, standard deviation, and frequency were used. In addition, a Pearson correlation coefficient and t test were performed, because the sample demonstrated a normal distribution.

| Sub-dimensions | Parent | Ν | \bar{X} | S | sd | t | р |
|----------------|--------|-----|-----------|------|-----|--------|--------|
| | Mother | 313 | 49.82 | 8.03 | | | |
| Rewarding | Father | 313 | 48.42 | 7.51 | | | |
| | | | | | 312 | 2.843 | .005* |
| | Mother | 313 | 26.89 | 8.88 | _ | | |
| Punishing | Father | 313 | 29.78 | 8.35 | | | |
| | | | | | 312 | -5.946 | .000** |
| | Mother | 313 | 35.10 | 8.60 | | | |
| Magnifying | | | | | | | |
| | Father | 313 | 34.41 | 8.15 | 312 | 1.317 | .189 |
| | Mother | 313 | 18.09 | 5.48 | | | |
| Neglect | | | | | | | |
| | Father | 313 | 20.26 | 6.68 | 312 | -5.969 | .000** |
| | Mother | 313 | 35.19 | 9.37 | | | |
| Distracting | | | | | | | |
| | Father | 313 | 37.26 | 8.64 | 312 | -4.306 | .000** |

3. RESULTS

Table 2 shows the difference between mothers' and fathers' emotion socialization strategies. Table 2: Mothers' and fathers' emotion socialization strategies

**p<.001 *p<.01

Table 2 suggests that mothers use more rewarding strategies for their children's emotions than do fathers (t=2.843; p<.01). The results also indicate that fathers show more punishing (t=-5.946; p<.001), neglect (t=-5.969; p<.001) and distracting (t=-4.306; p<.001) responses to their children's emotions more than do mothers. However, mothers' and fathers' magnifying strategies are not significantly different (t=1.317; p>.05).

Table 3 provides the t test results of mothers' emotional socialization strategies for female and male children.



| Sub-dimensions | Sex | Ν | \bar{X} | S | Sd | t | р |
|----------------|--------|-----|-----------|------|-----|--------|------|
| | Female | 178 | 50.28 | 8.67 | | | |
| Rewarding | Male | 165 | 49.24 | 7.83 | 341 | 1.157 | .248 |
| | Female | 178 | 27.28 | 9.41 | _ | | |
| Punishing | Male | 165 | 26.49 | 8.51 | 341 | .813 | .417 |
| | Female | 178 | 35.06 | 9.12 | | | |
| Magnifying | Male | 165 | 35.23 | 8.42 | 341 | 183 | .855 |
| | Female | 178 | 17.57 | 5.32 | | | |
| Neglect | Male | 165 | 18.27 | 5.65 | 341 | -1.179 | .239 |
| | Female | 178 | 35.60 | 9.41 | | | |
| Distracting | Male | 165 | 34.61 | 9.49 | 341 | .969 | .333 |

Table 3: Mothers' emotional socialization strategies for female and male children

The results indicate that mothers' rewarding (t = 1.157; p>.05), punishing (t =.813; p>.05), magnifying (t=-.183; p>.05), neglect (t = -1.179; p>.05), and distracting (t = .969; p>.05) responses are not statistically significant according to the child's sex.

Table 4 provides the t test results of fathers' emotional socialization strategies for female and male children.

Table 4: Fathers' emotional socialization strategies for female and male children

| Sub-dimensions | Sex | Ν | \bar{X} | S | sd | t | р |
|----------------|--------|-----|-----------|------|-----|--------|--------|
| | Female | 167 | 49.59 | 6.92 | | | |
| Rewarding | Male | 146 | 47.08 | 7.95 | 311 | 2.995 | .003** |
| | Female | 167 | 29.93 | 8.69 | | | |
| Punishing | Male | 146 | 29.62 | 7.97 | 311 | .329 | .743 |
| | Female | 167 | 35.18 | 8.08 | | | |
| Magnifying | Male | 146 | 33.54 | 8.16 | 311 | 1.780 | .076 |
| | Female | 167 | 19.54 | 6.40 | | | |
| Neglect | Male | 146 | 21.08 | 6.91 | 311 | -2.041 | .042* |
| | Female | 167 | 38.03 | 7.95 | | | |
| Distracting | Male | 146 | 36.37 | 9.31 | 311 | 1.695 | .091 |

*p<.01 *p<.05

According to the results in Table 4, fathers' rewarding (t=2.995; p<.01) and neglect (t=-2.041; p<.05) strategies differ according to the child's sex. Fathers demonstrate more rewarding responses to their daughters than they do their sons. On the other hand, they use neglect strategy for their sons' emotions more than they do for their daughters'. Results also suggest that fathers' punishing (t=.329; p> .05), magnifying (t=1.780; p>.05) and distracting (t=1.695; p>.05) responses do not differ significantly for their female and male children.

The Pearson correlation coefficient test results, which examine the relationship between mothers' emotional socialization strategies and children's social competence, are provided in Table 5.

Table 5: Relationship between mothers' emotional socialization strategies and children's social competence level

| Sub-dimensions | N | r | р |
|-------------------|-----|------|-------|
| Rewarding | 230 | .065 | .325 |
| Punishing | 230 | 106 | .110 |
| Magnifying | 230 | .003 | .961 |
| Neglect | 230 | 240 | .000* |
| Distracting | 230 | 008 | .899 |
| Social Competence | | | |

*p<.001

Table 5 indicates that mothers' rewarding (r=.065; p>.05), punishing (r=-.106; p>.05), magnifying (r=-.003; p>.05), and distracting (r=-.008; p>.05) responses and children's social competence level are not significantly correlated. The results also suggest a low and negative relationship between mothers' neglect responses and children's social competence level (r = -.240; p <.001). This suggests that as mothers' neglect responses to their children's emotions increase, children's social competence level decreases.

Table 6 provides the Pearson correlation coefficient test results of the relationship between fathers' emotional socialization strategies and children's social competence level.

| • | | Ū. | • |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|
| Variables | Ν | r | р |
| Rewarding | 230 | .117 | .076 |
| Punishing | 230 | 013 | .844 |
| Magnifying | 230 | .075 | .255 |
| Neglect | 230 | 069 | .294 |
| Distracting | 230 | .067 | .310 |
| Social Competence | | | |

Table 6: Relationship between fathers' emotional socialization strategies and children's social competence level

Table 6 shows that fathers' rewarding (r=.117; p>.05), punishing (r=.013; p>.05), magnifying (r=.075; p>.05), neglect (r=-.069; p>.05), and distracting (r=.067; p>.05) responses to their children's emotions and children's social competence level are not significantly correlated.

4. DISCUSSION

In the study, the relationship between parental emotion socialization strategies and children's social competence level was examined. Besides, the differences between mothers' and fathers' emotion socialization strategies and parental emotion socialization strategies toward girls and boys were analyzed. The results suggested that mothers demonstrate more rewarding responses to their children's emotions than fathers; on the other hand, fathers use more punishing, neglect and distracting responses to their children's emotions than mothers (Table 2). The results are supported by previous works which have revealed that mothers exhibit more supportive and less punitive reactions to their children's emotions than do fathers (Baker, Fenning, & Crnic, 2011, 412-430; Eisenberg et al., 1996; McElwain et al., 2007, 1407-1425). A study with a Turkish sample indicated that while mothers exhibit more protective and democratic attitudes, fathers display more traditional attitudes toward their children (Özyürek & Tezel Sahin, 2005). Furthermore, Denham and Kochanoff (2002, 311-343) believed that American fathers do not regard emotion socialization as their work. Conversely, a study with an African American sample revealed no significant difference between mothers' and fathers' supportive emotion socialization reactions (Brown, Craig, & Halberstadt, 2015, 135-157). In the current study, it was found that mothers use more supportive emotion socialization strategies than do fathers. It is thought that since fathers display more traditional attitudes toward their children, they scored higher for non-supportive responses than did the mothers. On the other hand, the finding that mothers scored higher for supportive (rewarding) responses than did fathers may be resulted from that they display more protective and democratic attitudes and show their children more compassion.

The study also revealed that mothers' emotion socialization strategies do not change according to the child's sex (Table 3). This result is supported by similar studies conducted in Turkey (Ersay, 2014, 33-46; Kılıç, 2012, 99; Özkan, 2015, 77). However; although some studies (Brown et al., 2015; Nelson et al., 2012, 22-41) revealed that mothers use more supportive strategies for girls' negative emotions than fathers across three ethnicities (African American, European American, and Lumbee American Indian), others revealed that both parents discuss negative emotions more with daughters than with sons (Adams, Kuebli, Boyle, & Fivush, 1995, 309-323; Fivush, Brotman, Buckner, & Goodman, 2000, 233-253). Moreover, the current study's results showed that fathers' emotion socialization strategies differ according to the child's sex. They display more rewarding and less neglect responses to girls than to boys (Table 4). Previous studies suggested that fathers of daughters encourage the expression of negative emotions with them (Fivush et al., 2000, 233-253), as well as demonstrate more supportive reactions (Sperling, 2012, 65-69), positive parenting behavior



(Nordahl, 2014, 65-67), and tolerance (Recepov, 2000, 125) than do the fathers of sons. Conversely, Baker et al. (2011, 412-430) revealed that fathers exhibit more coaching attitudes toward their sons than toward their daughters. The current study indicates that fathers tend to respond to their daughters' emotions in a kinder way than they do to their sons, because they may believe that girls are more fragile than boys based on gender role perceptions in the Turkish culture.

The results suggested a negative relationship between mothers' neglect strategy and children's social competence which means as mothers' neglect responses to their children's emotions increase, children's social competence level decreases (Table 5). This result is supported by previous works which revealed that parents using non-supportive emotional socialization strategies have children with low social competence and more behavior problems (Fabes et al., 2001, 907-920; Jones, Eisenberg, Fabes and MacKinnon, 2002, 133-159; Denham et al., 1997; Mirabile, 2010, 68-69). The current study showed that when mothers neglect their children's emotional responses, children's social competence level is negatively affected. When mothers neglect children's emotions, these children may believe their emotional expressions are not adequate enough to be noticed and therefore, may demonstrate more intense emotional expressions when similar circumstances arise to attract their mothers' attention.

As a result of the study, there is no relationship between fathers' emotion socialization strategies and children's social competence level (Table 6). However, a study with a American sample found a positive relationship between fathers' supportive emotion socialization behaviors and children's social competence (Baker et al., 2011, 412-430). In collectivist cultures as that in Turkey, mothers are expected to take responsibility for the children. On the other hand, fathers are expected to earn a living for their families. Therefore, mothers are likely more aware of their children's emotional reactions since they spend more time with their children. This may be why mothers' emotion socialization strategies were related with children's social competence and why fathers' emotion socialization strategies were not in this study.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study pointed out the importance of parents' responses to their children's emotions in terms of their influence on children's social competence. The study revealed a positive relationship between mothers' rewarding responses and children's social competence. However, the results showed that fathers' emotion socialization strategies and children's social competence are not significantly correlated. Furthermore, the study showed that parental emotion socialization strategies differ according to the sex of the parent and child. Although most emotion socialization studies are based on mothers, studies (Roberts & Strayer, 1987, 415-422; Volling, McElwain, & Notaro ve Herrera, 2002, 447-465) show that fathers also have an important role in children's social emotional development. Therefore, more studies should be conducted on fathers' emotion socialization. In addition, cultural influences such as gender-biased beliefs regarding parental emotion socialization should be studied.

Although the findings of this study revealed the relationship between parental emotion socialization behaviors and children's social competence, some limitations need to be acknowledged. First, the results of this study cannot be generalized to parents with low or high SES, as the parents included in the sample were not classified according to income level. The other limitation is that since parents evaluated their own responses to children's emotions, their responses may be affected by social desirability. Observation forms could provide more accurate findings regarding parents' emotion socialization behaviors. Last, the current study's findings cannot be generalized to the whole Turkish culture, because the culture comprises various subcultures, which may result in variations in terms of parenting practices and parental emotion socialization behaviors. Despite the limitations, this study has enhanced the literature regarding parental emotion socialization behaviors in Turkey and demonstrated the relationship between parental emotion socialization and children's social competence.

REFERENCES

Adams, Susan, Kuebli, Janet, Boyle, Patricia A., and Fivush, Robyn (1995). Gender differences in parent-child conversations about past emotions: A longitudinal investigation. *Sex Roles*, Volume 33, pp. 309-323. doi:10.1007/BF01954572.

Baker, Jason K., Fenning, Rachel M., and Crnic, Keith A. (2011). Emotion socialization by mothers and fathers: Coherence among behaviors and associations with parent attitudes and children's social competence. *Social Development*, Volume 20, Issue 2, pp. 412-430. Bandura, Albert (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Brown, Geoffrey L., Craig, Ashley B., and Halberstadt, Amy G. (2015). Parent gender differences in emotion socialization behaviors vary by ethnicity and child gender. *Parenting: Science and Practice*, Volume 15, Issue 3, pp. 135-157. doi: 10.1080/15295192.2015.1053312



Brumariu, Laura E. (2015). Parent-child attachment and emotion regulation. In G. Bosmans and K. A. Kerns (Eds.), *Attachment in middle childhood: Theoretical advances and new directions in an emerging field. New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development,* Issue 148, pp. 31-45. Wiley Periodicals, Inc. doi:10.1002/cad.20098

Butler, Emily A., Lee, Tiane L., and Gross, James J. (2007). Emotion regulation and culture: Are the social consequences of emotion suppression culture-specific? *Emotion*, Volume 7, Issue 1, pp. 30-48.

Chaplin, Tara M., Cole, Pamela M., and Zahn-Waxler, Carolyn (2005). Parental socialization of emotion expression: Gender differences and relations to child adjustment. *Emotion*, Volume 5, Issue 1, pp. 80-88. doi:10.1037/1528-3542.5.1.80

Chen, Fu M., Lin, Hsiao S., and Li, Chun H. (2011). The role of emotion in parent-child relationships: Children's emotionality, maternal meta-emotion, and children's attachment security. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, Volume 21, Issue 3, pp. 403–410. doi:10.1007/s10826-011-9491-y

Çorapçı, Feyza, Aksan, Nazan, Arslan-Yalçın, Duygu, and Yağmurlu, Bilge (2010). Emotional, behavioral and social adjustment
scanning in preschool period: Social competence and behavior evaluation-30 scale. Journal of Child and Youth MentalHealth, Volume
Health, Volume
17, Issue 2, pp. 63-74.

Denham, Susanne A., Bassett, Hideko H., and Wyatt, Todd (2007). The socialization of emotional competence. In J. E. Grusec and P. D. Hastings (Eds.), *The handbook of socialization: Theory and research, pp.* 614-637. New York: Guilford Press.

Denham, Susanne. A., and Kochanoff, Anita T. (2002). Parental contributions to preschoolers' understanding of emotion. *Marriage and Family Review*, Volume 34, Issue 3-4, pp. 311-343. doi:10.1300/J002v34n03_06

Denham, Susanne, von Salisch, Maria, Olthof, Tjeert, Kochanoff, Anita, and Caverly, Sarah (2004). Emotional and social development in childhood. In P. K. Smith and C. H. Hart (Eds.), *Blackwell Handbook of Childhood Social Development, pp.* 307–328. London: Blackwell Publishing.

Eisenberg, Nancy, Fabes, Richard A., and Murphy, Bridget C. (1996). Parents' reactions to children's negative emotions: Relations to children's social competence and comforting behavior. *Child Development*, Volume 67, Issue 5, pp. 2227-2247.

Eisenberg, Nancy, Cumberland, Amanda, and Spinrad, Tracy L. (1998). Parental socialization of emotion. *Psychological Inquiry*, Volume 9, Issue 4, pp. 241–273.

Eisenberg, Nancy, Gershoff, Elizabeth T., Fabes, Richard A., Shepard, S. A., Cumberland, Anita J., Losoya, S. H., et al. (2001). Mother's emotional expressivity and children's behavior problems and social competence: Mediation through children's regulation. *Developmental Psychology*, Volume 37, Issue 4, pp. 475-490. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.37.4.475

Ersay, Ebru (2014). Parental socialization of emotion: How mothers respond to their children's emotions in Turkey. *The International Journal of Emotional Education*, Volume 6, Issue 1, pp. 33-46.

Fabes, Richard A., Leonard, Stacie A., Kupanoff, Kristina., and Martin, Carol L. (2001). Parental coping with children's negative emotions: Relations with children's emotional and social responding. *Child Development*, Volume 72, Issue 3, pp. 907-920.

Fivush, Robyn., Brotman, Melissa A., Buckner, Janine P., and Goodman, Sherryl H. (2000). Gender differences in parent-child emotion narratives. *Sex Roles*, Volume 42, Issue 3-4, pp. 233-253. doi:10.1023/A:1007091207068

Fraenkel, Jack R., Wallen, Norman E., and Hyun, Helen H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (8th ed). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Garside, Rula B., and Klimes-Dougan, Bonnie (2002). Socialization of discrete negative emotions: Gender differences and links with psychological distress. *Sex Roles*, Volume 47, Issue 3-4, pp. 115-128. doi:10.1023/A:1021090904785

Hastings, Paul D., and De, Ishani (2008). Parasympathetic regulation and parental socialization of emotion: Bio-psychosocial processes of adjustment in preschoolers. *Social Development*, Volume 17, Issue 2, pp. 211-237.

Jones, Sarah, Eisenberg, Nancy, Fabes, Richard A., and MacKinnon, David P. (2002). Parents' reactions to elementary school children's negative emotions: Relations to social and emotional functioning at school. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, Volume 48, Issue 2, pp. 133-159. Kağıtçıbaşı, Çiğdem (2000). *Cultural psychology: Family and human development in the context of culture*. İstanbul: Evrim Publishing.

Katz, Lynn F., and Windecker-Nelson, Bess (2004). Parental meta-emotion philosophy in families with conduct problem children: Links with peer relations. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, Volume 32, Issue 4, pp. 385-398.

Kılıç, Şükran (2012). The relationship between 48-72 months of children's ability to understand emotions and the mothers' emotion socialization behaviors. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. Gazi University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Ankara.

LaFreniere Peter J., and Dumas, Jean E. (1996). Social competence and behavior evaluation in children ages 3 to 6 years: The short form (SCBE-30). *Psychological Assessment*, Volume 8, Issue 4, pp. 369-377.

Laible, Deborah, and Song, Jeanie (2006). Constructing emotional and relational understanding: The role of affect and mother- child discourse. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, Volume 52, Issue 1, 44-69.

LeCompte, Güney, LeCompte, Ayhan and Ozer, Serap E. (1978). Mothers' child rearing behaviors who come from 3 socio-economic status in Ankara: An adaptation of a scale. *Turkish Journal of Psychology*, Volume 1, Issue 1, pp. 5-8.

Lunkenheimer, Erika S., Shields, Ann M., and Cortina, Kai S. (2007). Parental emotion coaching and dismissing in family interaction. *Social Development*, Volume 16, Issue 2, pp. 232-248. doi:10.1111/j.14679507.2007.00382.x

Martini, Tanya S., Root, Carol A., and Jenkins, Jennifer M. (2004). Low and middle income mothers' regulation of negative emotion: Effects of children's temperament and situational emotional responses. *Social Development*, Volume, 13, Issue 4, pp. 515-530.

McDonald, Wade, Tarkenton, Tahnae R., and Faith, Melissa A. (2015). *Cultural differences in parents' beliefs about children's emotions in the pediatric hematology/oncology population*. Conference: UT Southwestern Graduate Student Organization Poster Session. Dallas, TX.

McElwain, Nancy L., Halberstadt, Amy G., and Volling, Brenda L. (2007). Mother and father reported reactions to children's negative emotions: Relations to young children's emotional understanding and friendship quality. *Child Development*, Volume 78, Issue 5, pp. 1407-1425. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01074.x

Mesquita, Batja, and Frijda, Nico H. (1992). Cultural variations in emotions: A review. *Psychological Bulletin, Volume 112, Issue 2*, 179-204.

Mirabile, Scott P. (2010). *Emotion socialization, emotioncompetence, and social competence and maladjustment in early childhood.* Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. University of New Orleans.



Morelen, Diana, Jacob, Marni L., Suveg, Cynthia, Jones, Anna, and Thomassin, Kristel (2013). Family emotion expressivity, emotion regulation, and the link to psychopathology: Examination across race. *British Journal of Psychology*, Volume 104, Issue 2, pp. 149-166. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8295.2012.02108.x

Morris, Amanda S., Silk, Jennifer S., Myers, Sonya S., Steinberg, L., and Robinson, Lara R. (2007). Role of family context in the development of emotion regulation. *Social Development*, Volume 16, Issue 2, pp. 361–388. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9507.2007.00389.x

Nelson, Jackie A., Leerkes, Esther M., O'Brien, Marion, Calkins, Ssusan D., and Marcovitch, Stuart (2012). African American and European American mothers' beliefs about negative emotions and emotion socialization practices. *Parenting*, Volume 12, Issue 1, pp. 22-41. doi:10.1080/15295192.2012.638871

Nordahl, Kristin Berg (2014). Early father-child interaction in a father-friendly context: Gender differences, child outcomes and predictive factors related to fathers' parenting behaviors with one-year-olds. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. University of Bergen, Bergen.

O'Neal, Colleen R., and Magai, Carol (2005). Do parents respond different ways when children feel different emotions? The emotional context of parenting. *Development and Psychopathology*, Volume 17, Issue 2, pp. 467-487.

Özkan, H. Kübra (2015). Mothers' emotion socialization behaviors and the children's self-perception and social problem-solving skills. Unpublished Master's Thesis. Gazi University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Ankara.

Özyürek, Arzu, and Tezel-Şahin, Fatma (2005). Examining the attitudes of parents who have children at the age of 5–6. *Gazi University Journal of Gazi Educational Faculty, Volume 25, Issue 2, pp. 19-34.*

Ramsden, Sally R., and Hubbard, Julie A. (2002). Family expressiveness and parental emotional coaching: Their role in children's emotion regulation and aggression. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, Volume 30, Issue 6, pp. 657-667.

Recepov, Recep. (2000). *Perceived parenting behaviors (A cross-cultural study*. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. Ankara University, Institute of Social Sciences, Ankara.

Roberts, William L., and Strayer, Janet (1987). Parents' responses to the emotional distress of their children: Relations with children's competence. *Developmental Psychology*, Volume 23, Issue 3, pp. 415-422.

Rose-Krasnor, Linda (1997). The nature of social competence: A theoretical review. Social Development, Volume 6, Issue 1, pp. 111-135.

Seçer, Zarife, and Karabulut, Nihan (2016). Analysis of emotional socialization behaviors of mother-fathers and social skills of preschool children. *Education and Science*, Volume 41, Issue 185, pp. 147-165.

Semrud-Clikeman, Margaret (2007). Social competence in children. USA: Springer.

Sheridan, Susan M., & Walker, D. (1999). Social skills in context: Considerations for assessment, intervention, and generalization. In Cecil R. Reynolds & Terry B. Gutkin (Eds.), *The handbook of school psychology (3rd ed., pp. 686–708)*. New York: Wiley.

Sperling, Jacqueline (2012). Emotion socialization in the home. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. University of California.

Volling, Brenda L., McElwain, Nancy L., Notaro, Paul C., and Herrera, Carla (2002). Parents' emotional availability and infant emotional competence: Predictors of parent-infant attachment and emerging self-regulation. *Journal of Family Psychology*, Volume 16, Issue 4, pp. 447-465.

Zeman, Janice, Cassano, Michael, Perry-Parrish, Carisa, and Stegall, Sheri (2006). Emotion regulation in children and adolescents. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, Volume 27, Issue 2, pp. 155-168. doi:10.1097/00004703-200604000-00014